Designing a new governance for consumers to facilitate their participation in the energy system

Authors: Sabine Pelka 1, 2, Dr. Marian Klobasa 1, Dr. Laurens de Vries 2

Affiliations: Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI1 & TU Delft2

Relevant AAEE topic: Relevant Economic analysis of smart buildings and the energy community

concept

Overview

The increasing number of flexibility and generation assets owned by the households does not necessarily result in a greater flexibility and electricity offer for the energy system, as the households are not in a position of participating in the energy system. Private arrangements are emerging to facilitate participation and constitute new governance for the consumers. The consumer governance framework aims to reveal the modular structure of those arrangements and set the stage for an evaluation of the impact of the governance design on the consumers' participation. The key elements of the framework, the design element, and consumers' objective checklists, are derived from a literature review. The six identified design elements are information provision, operation automation and interaction with the consumer, matching of electricity and flexibility, investment orchestration, allocation of costs and benefits, and risk allocation. Examples for the specifications of the design elements are provided. The key objective of the consumers is summarised as the reduction of the cost (energy supply, investment, and transaction cost) and the increase of the benefits in form of a secure, decarbonized, independent, transparent, and data safeguarding energy supply. The weight of the objectives depends on the individual and contextual factors of the consumer. The application of the framework is demonstrated by three existing arrangements, the local trading platform Pebbles, the virtual power plant Next Kraftwerke and the energy community EWS Schönau.

Methods

The framework is developed for revealing the modular structure of the private arrangements and evaluating its impact is developed based on a literature review. To structure the results from the literature, the consumers' objectives for participating in the energy system are selected as starting point. On the one side, the evaluation criteria is selected to evaluate the objectives. On the other side, measures to fulfill the objectives are derived and aggregated as design elements.

Results

The resulting framework aims to be applied by using three guiding questions:

- 1. How are the design elements for the consumer governance specified?
- 2. What are key **objectives by the consumers** for their participation in the energy system?
- 3. How does the governance design meet the objectives by the consumer?

The guiding questions are substantiated by a list of design elements and possible specifications, as well as common objectives by consumers that need to be specified & weighted for every case.

Design elements

Design elements	Design options and examples for design specifications		
Information provision	Ex-ante & from consumer to provider: Contractual preference for electricity supply Ex post & from consumer to provider: Data sharing agreement Ex-ante & from provider to consumer: Tariff design Ex post & from provider to consumer: Billing information		
Operation automation and interaction with consumer	One time interaction and direct enforcement: Automated electricity supply Frequent interaction and direct enforcement: Smart charging of electric vehicles Frequent interaction and indirect enforcement: Consumption recommendations for white goods		
Matching of electricity and flexibility	Aggregation for established markets: Virtual power plants Local markets and congestion management: Flexibility market Local markets and electricity trading: Local electricity supply Virtual markets and electricity trading: Green electricity supply		
Investment orchestration	Ownership: Private, public Compliance rules: Access regulation or usage rights		
Allocation of costs and benefits	Market-based: Pricing rules Business model based: Bonus or fees Infrastructure cost: Grid tariffs, smart meter fees Regulated cost: Taxes, levies		
Risk management	Risk hedging: Capacity subscription Risk minimization: Storage investment Risk acceptance: Consumption curtailment		

Consumers' objectives

1	Reduce the costs	2	Increase the benefits
1.1	Reduce the supply cost	2.1	Maintain reliable energy supply
1.2	Reduce investment cost	2.2	Decarbonise the energy supply
1.3	Reduce the transaction cost	2.3	Create independence from incumbent players
		2.4	Safeguard data privacy
		2.5	Create transparency

Conclusions

By reducing the effort and complexity, creating additional values, or safeguarding their interests and beliefs, the governance options address different households. Incentive schemes that go beyond homo economicus, optimization enforcement, co-determination requirements, and data access are examples of design elements. The decomposition reveals the modular structure of the arrangements, as well as highlights common and distinctive features among them. The created inventory aims to set the ground for future evaluations, as well as discussions on the new role of households in the energy transition and its facilitation.

Bibliography

Agnew, Scott; Dargusch, Paul (2015): Effect of residential solar and storage on centralized electricity supply systems. In *Nature Clim Change*. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2523.

Andoni, Merlinda; Robu, Valentin; Flynn, David; Abram, Simone; Geach, Dale; Jenkins, David et al. (2019): Blockchain technology in the energy sector: A systematic review of challenges and opportunities. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 100, pp. 143–174. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2018.10.014.

Bauwens, Thomas (2016): Explaining the diversity of motivations behind community renewable energy. In *Energy Policy* 93, pp. 278–290. DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2016.03.017.

Bauwens, Thomas (2017): Polycentric Governance Approaches for a Low-Carbon Transition: The Roles of Community-Based Energy Initiatives in Enhancing the Resilience of Future Energy Systems. In Nicola Labanca (Ed.): Complex Systems and Social Practices in Energy Transitions, vol. 29. Cham: Springer International Publishing (Green Energy and Technology), pp. 119–145.

Bjarghov, Sigurd; Doorman, Gerard (2018 - 2018): Utilizing End-User Flexibility for Demand Management Under Capacity Subscription Tariffs. In: 2018 15th International Conference on the European Energy Market (EEM). 2018 15th International Conference on the European Energy Market (EEM). Lodz, 27.06.2018 - 29.06.2018: IEEE, pp. 1–5.

Bourazeri, Aikaterini; Pitt, Jeremy (2018): Collective attention and active consumer participation in community energy systems. In *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 119, pp. 1–11. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2018.06.001.

Brown, Donal; Hall, Stephen; Davis, Mark E. (2019): Prosumers in the post subsidy era: an exploration of new prosumer business models in the UK. In *Energy Policy* 135, p. 110984. DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2019.110984.

Brown, Marilyn A.; Zhou, Shan; Ahmadi, Majid (2018): Smart grid governance: An international review of evolving policy issues and innovations. In *WIREs Energy Environ* 7 (5), e290. DOI: 10.1002/wene.290.

Cayford, Timpthy; Scholten, Daniel (2014): Viability of Self-Governance in Community Energy Systems Structuring an Approach for Assessment. In *Economics of Technology and Innovation*.

Dahlman, Carl J. (1979): The Problem of Externality. In *The Journal of Law & Economics, vol.* 22, no. 1, 1979, pp. 141–162.

Dehler, Joris; Keles, Dogan; Telsnig, Thomas; Fleischer, Benjamin; Baumann, Manuel; Fraboulet, David et al. (2017): Self-Consumption of Electricity from Renewable Sources. In: Europe's Energy Transition - Insights for Policy Making: Elsevier, pp. 225–236.

Espe, Eunice; Potdar, Vidyasagar; Chang, Elizabeth (2018): Prosumer Communities and Relationships in Smart Grids: A Literature Review, Evolution and Future Directions. In *Energies* 11 (10), p. 2528. DOI: 10.3390/en11102528.

Glachant, Jean-Michel (2019): New business models in the electricity sector. In *EUI Working Paper RSCAS 2019/44*.

Globisch, Joachim; Kühnbach, Matthias; Dütschke, Elisabeth; Bekk, Anke (2020): The stranger in the German energy system? How energy system requirements misalign with household preferences for flexible heat pumps. In *Energy Research & Social Science* 67, p. 101604. DOI: 10.1016/j.erss.2020.101604.

Good, Nicholas; Ellis, Keith A.; Mancarella, Pierluigi (2017): Review and classification of barriers and enablers of demand response in the smart grid. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 72, pp. 57–72. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.043.

Haring, Tobias W.; Mathieu, Johanna L.; Andersson, Goran (2016): Comparing Centralized and Decentralized Contract Design Enabling Direct Load Control for Reserves. In *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* 31 (3), pp. 2044–2054. DOI: 10.1109/TPWRS.2015.2458302.

Heilmann, Erik; Klempp, Nikolai; Wetzel, Heike (2020): Design of regional flexibility markets for electricity: A product classification framework for and application to German pilot projects. In *Utilities Policy* 67, p. 101133. DOI: 10.1016/j.jup.2020.101133.

Hein, Andreas; Schreieck, Maximilian; Wiesche, Manuel; Krcmar, Helmut (2016): Multiple-Case Analysis on Governance Mechanisms of Multi-Sided Platforms. In *MKWI* – *Telekommunikations- und Internetwirtschaft*.

Hertig, Yves; Teufel, Stephanie (Eds.) (2018): The 'Energy Community Management' Framework for Energy Service Providers. IEEE International Conference on Smart Energy Grid Engineering; Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers; University of Ontario Institute of Technology; SEGE. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE. Available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?punumber=8477994.

Kahneman, Daniel (2003): A perspective on judgment and choice: mapping bounded rationality. In *The American psychologist* 58 (9), pp. 697–720. DOI: 10.1037/0003-066X.58.9.697.

Koirala, Binod Prasad; Araghi, Yashar; Kroesen, Maarten; Ghorbani, Amineh; Hakvoort, Rudi A.; Herder, Paulien M. (2018): Trust, awareness, and independence: Insights from a socio-psychological factor analysis of citizen knowledge and participation in community energy systems. In *Energy Research & Social Science* 38, pp. 33–40. DOI: 10.1016/j.erss.2018.01.009.

Koirala, Binod Prasad; Koliou, Elta; Friege, Jonas; Hakvoort, Rudi A.; Herder, Paulien M. (2016): Energetic communities for community energy: A review of key issues and trends shaping integrated community energy systems. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 56, pp. 722–744. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.11.080.

Kruyt, Bert; van Vuuren, D. P.; Vries, H.J.M. de; Groenenberg, H. (2009): Indicators for energy security. In *Energy Policy* 37 (6), pp. 2166–2181. DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2009.02.006.

Lehmann, Nico; Huber, Julian; Kiesling, Andreas (2019): Flexibility in the context of a cellular system model. In *16th International Conference on the European Energy Market (EEM)*. DOI: 10.1109/EEM.2019.8916358.

Lehmbruck, Lotte; Kretz, Julian; Aengenvoort, Jan; Sioshansi, Fereidoon (2020): Aggregation of front- and behind-the-meter: the evolving VPP business model. In *Behind and Beyond the Meter: Digitalization, Aggregation, Optimization, Monetization.*

Löbbe, Sabine; Hackbarth, André; Stillhahn, Thies; Pfeiffer, Luis; Rohbogner, Gregor (2020): Customer participation in P2P trading: a German energy community case study. In *Behind and Beyond the Meter: Digitalization, Aggregation, Optimization, Monetization*.

Lowitzsch, J.; Hoicka, C. E.; van Tulder, F. J. (2020): Renewable energy communities under the 2019 European Clean Energy Package – Governance model for the energy clusters of the future? In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 122, p. 109489. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.109489.

Martín-Gamboa, Mario; Iribarren, Diego; García-Gusano, Diego; Dufour, Javier (2017): A review of life-cycle approaches coupled with data envelopment analysis within multi-criteria decision analysis for sustainability assessment of energy systems. In *Journal of Cleaner Production* 150, pp. 164–174. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.017.

McGinnis, Michael D. (2011): An Introduction to IAD and the Language of the Ostrom Workshop: A Simple Guide to a Complex Framework. In *Policy Studies Journal* 39 (1), pp. 169–183. DOI: 10.1111/j.1541-0072.2010.00401.x.

Mengelkamp, Esther; Gärttner, Johannes; Rock, Kerstin; Kessler, Scott; Orsini, Lawrence; Weinhardt, Christof (2018): Designing microgrid energy markets. In *Applied Energy* 210, pp. 870–880. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.06.054.

Mengelkamp, Esther; Schönland, Thomas; Huber, Julian; Weinhardt, Christof (2019): The value of local electricity - A choice experiment among German residential customers. In *Energy Policy* 130, pp. 294–303. DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2019.04.008.

Morstyn, Thomas; Farrell, Niall; Darby, Sarah J.; McCulloch, Malcolm D. (2018): Using peer-topeer energy-trading platforms to incentivize prosumers to form federated power plants. In *Nat Energy* 3 (2), pp. 94–101. DOI: 10.1038/s41560-017-0075-y.

Parag, Yael; Sovacool, Benjamin K. (2016): Electricity market design for the prosumer era. In *Nat Energy* 1 (4), p. 329. DOI: 10.1038/nenergy.2016.32.

Ramesohl, Stephan (Ed.) (2003): Social interactions and conditions for change in energy-related decision-making in SMCs – an empirical socio-economic analysis. Dordrecht, New York: Kluwer Academic Publishers (Advances in global change research, 8).

Rassa, Abo; van Leeuwen, Coen; Spaans, Robertjan; Kok, Koen (2019): Developing Local Energy Markets: A Holistic System Approach. In *IEEE Power and Energy Mag.* 17 (5), pp. 59–70. DOI: 10.1109/MPE.2019.2921743.

Rave, Tilmann; Albrecht, Jutta (2015): Die Diffusion von Politikinnovationen: Fallstudie zum "Schönauer Modell". Working Paper by the BMBF funded project ENERGIO. In *ENERGIO – Working Paper Nr.* 3.

Roth, Lucas; Lowitzsch, Jens; Yildiz, Özgür; Hashani, Alban (2018): Does (Co-)ownership in renewables matter for an electricity consumer's demand flexibility? Empirical evidence from Germany. In *Energy Research & Social Science* 46, pp. 169–182. DOI: 10.1016/j.erss.2018.07.009.

Sagebiel, Julian; Müller, Jakob R.; Rommel, Jens (2014): Are consumers willing to pay more for electricity from cooperatives? Results from an online Choice Experiment in Germany. In *Energy Research & Social Science* 2, pp. 90–101. DOI: 10.1016/j.erss.2014.04.003.

Sarfarazi, Seyedfarzad; Deissenroth-Uhrig, Marc; Bertsch, Valentin (2020): Aggregation of Households in Community Energy Systems: An Analysis from Actors' and Market Perspectives. In *Energies* 13 (19), p. 5154. DOI: 10.3390/en13195154.

Simon, Herbert (1987): Bounded rationality. In *Eatwell, J., et al. (Eds.), The New Palgrave. Macmillan, London, pp. 266–268.*

Sladek, Sebastian (2015): EWS Schönau: Die Schönauer Stromrebellen – Energiewende in Bürgerhand. In Hartmut Kopf, Susan Müller, Dominik Rüede, Kathrin Lurtz, Peter Russo (Eds.): Soziale Innovationen in Deutschland. Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, pp. 277–289.

Sousa, Tiago; Soares, Tiago; Pinson, Pierre; Moret, Fabio; Baroche, Thomas; Sorin, Etienne (2019): Peer-to-peer and community-based markets: A comprehensive review. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 104, pp. 367–378. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.01.036.

Sovacool, Benjamin K.; Mukherjee, Ishani (2011): Conceptualizing and measuring energy security: A synthesized approach. In *Energy* 36 (8), pp. 5343–5355. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2011.06.043.

Steg, Linda; Shwom, Rachel; Dietz, Thomas (2018): What Drives Energy Consumers?: Engaging People in a Sustainable Energy Transition. In *IEEE Power and Energy Mag.* 16 (1), pp. 20–28. DOI: 10.1109/MPE.2017.2762379.

Steg, Linda; Vlek, Charles (2009): Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. In *Journal of Environmental Psychology* 29 (3), pp. 309–317. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.10.004.

Steiniger, Helen (2017): Virtual Power Plants: Bringing the Flexibility of Decentralized Loads and Generation to Power Markets. In: Innovation and Disruption at the Grid's Edge: Elsevier, pp. 331–362.

Treib, Oliver; Bähr, Holger; Falkner, Gerda (2007): Modes of governance: towards a conceptual clarification. In *Journal of European Public Policy* 14 (1), pp. 1–20. DOI: 10.1080/135017606061071406.

van der Schoor, Tineke; Scholtens, Bert (2019): Scientific approaches for energy communities. In *Policy Paper for Centre for Energy Economics Research (CEER)*.

vom Scheidt, Frederik; Medinová, Hana; Ludwig, Nicole; Richter, Bent; Staudt, Philipp; Weinhardt, Christof (2020): Data analytics in the electricity sector – A quantitative and qualitative literature review. In *Energy and AI* 1, p. 100009. DOI: 10.1016/j.egyai.2020.100009.

Williamson, Oliver (2000): The New Institutional Economics: Taking Stock, Looking Ahead. In *Journal of Economic Literature* Vol. XXXVIII (September 2000) pp. 595–613.

Wolsink, Maarten (2012): The research agenda on social acceptance of distributed generation in smart grids: Renewable as common pool resources. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16 (1), pp. 822–835. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.09.006.

Wolske, Kimberly S.; Gillingham, Kenneth T.; Schultz, P. Wesley (2020): Peer influence on household energy behaviours. In *Nat Energy* 5 (3), pp. 202–212. DOI: 10.1038/s41560-019-0541-9.

Yi, Youjae; Gong, Taeshik (2013): Customer value co-creation behavior: Scale development and validation. In *Journal of Business Research* 66 (9), pp. 1279–1284. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.02.026.